UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,272   | 04/08/2004  | Daniel F. D'Elena    | END920040009US1     | 2174             |
| 40412 7590 06/30/2008 IBM CORPORATION- AUSTIN (JVL) C/O VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN PO BOX 90609 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | FLEISCHER, MARK A   |                  |
| AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  |             |                      | 3623                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 06/30/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/822,272 D'ELENA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MARK A. FLEISCHER 3623 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MARK A. FLEISCHER. (3) Joseph Van Leeuwen. (2) Beth Van Doren. Date of Interview: 19 June 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1.8 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Mui and Miller. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the independent claims, the office action, and possible approaches to amendment. We also discussed the underlying concepts of the invention viz a vis core skills versus dimension skills and issues relating to "progression". Potential Section 101 issues and considerations were also discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

/Beth Van Doren/